

in the atmosphere have risen to 413 ppm, the highest in 650,000 years [2]. Even with a significant reduction in global emissions, the long-term effects of GHGs will be difficult for future generations. In this regard, the Paris Agreement of 2016 imposed specific constraints on all nations to reduce their carbon emissions to a bare minimum. Carbon emissions and GHGs have sparked widespread alarm among scientists around the world. Energy consumption is one of the primary causes of GHG emissions worldwide [3]. Thus, all governments must consider policies and strategies to adapt to climate change and reduce GHG emissions [4]. The concept of the circular economy has garnered increased attention in recent times, as evidenced by the endeavors of several leading global economies to integrate its tenets into their sustainable development agendas [5]. The circular economy is a revolutionary concept centered around the minimization of resource consumption and waste while fostering economic efficiency and environmental sustainability. This progressive idea includes maintaining the value and utility of products, materials, and components in separate cycles. Moreover, it involves decoupling economic development from the consumption of resources. The circular economy is gaining global importance as awareness grows regarding the necessity of changing production and consumption processes to mitigate the negative environmental effects and promote the sustainable utilization of resources [6]. The circular economy demands innovative solutions and modifications in the existing business framework to reduce the amount of unused economic resources and foster innovation [7]. It provides reliable solutions for sustainable prosperity that increase resource use efficiency and improve the environment [8]. The concept of “circular economy” is rooted in a trans-disciplinary discourse that seeks to attain circularity in natural resources’ management. The implementation of policies is crucial to promoting innovative practices throughout the material life cycle. This presents a significant challenge for both the public and private sectors, which must leverage available technologies to effectively mitigate environmental impacts [9, 10].

Moreover, there is also an increase in enthusiasm for academic research, as well as research performed by practitioners and businesses interested in exploring or using the circular economy. Renewable energy (RE) is one approach that might boost the proclivity for a circular economy in the context of the sustainability of economic development processes. In advancing the circular economy, RE plays a crucial role and provides environmentally friendly alternatives to conventional sources of energy [11]. With their greater energy efficiency and low negative impacts on the environment, RE technologies seamlessly align with the principles of the circular economy. By using RE in the production process, industries can significantly lower their carbon footprints, contribute to a more responsible use of resources, and promote sustainable and eco-friendly approaches [12]. Additionally, integrating the RE into

circular systems supports the localized and decentralized production of energy, which decreases the dependency on long-distance transportation [13]. Similarly, the restorative nature of the RE impeccably aligns with the circular economy’s goals of replenishing and restoring energy sources over time. RE is positively associated with factors including cooling degree days and adjusted savings, reducing forest depletion. Moreover, RE reduces the net emissions of GHG and the average drought index [14]. One key aspect associated with community RE is that it contributes to achieving energy autonomy, sustainable development of rural areas, and promoting the circular economy in the energy sector [15]. Within the circular economy framework, RE positively affects the green economy. Therefore, the RE has the potential to promote sustainable development, making it an important component of the circular economy. RE and economic fitness (EFI) are commonly linked with a favorable correlation to the mitigation of GHG emissions and the decrease in temperature [16, 17].

RE is not a new idea; in fact, it has been around for decades. However, it has recently gained significant worldwide momentum due to worries about energy security and environmental sustainability. GHG emissions are a major environmental concern, and RE has been shown to be a cleaner and safer alternative to fossil fuels [18, 19]. The ability of nations to achieve energy independence is bolstered by RE since it lessens their need to import fuel. When compared to other energy sources, RE is very inexpensive for society as a whole [3]. The promotion of a green economy (GE) has become a widely accepted perspective in both societal and global prosperity, with the aim of enhancing and safeguarding ecological environments [20]. The notion of a green economy has been advocated as a novel approach to enhancing people’s welfare while mitigating ecological hazards [21]. GE is a dynamic economic approach that promotes sustainable development and the efficient use of economic resources. It also integrates economic, social, and environmental aspects [22]. It aims to minimize the negative impacts on the environment by protecting biodiversity, conserving water resources, and adopting efficient waste management strategies [23] (Abdullah et al., 2017). This transformative approach includes effective use of natural resources, conservation and enhancement of natural assets, preserving biodiversity, reducing emissions and environmental pollution, generating employment opportunities, and fostering economic growth [24-26]. Moreover, GE seeks to elevate the quality of life, increase accessibility to basic social services, improve societal well-being while striving for greater equity, and decrease income disparities. Thus, the GE encompasses improvements in water and waste management, the development of eco-friendly transportation, the adoption of organic farming, the adoption of renewable energy sources, the promotion of energy-efficient housing, and the preservation and effective administration of ecosystems.

The enhancement of natural capital, including fisheries, agriculture, forest reserves, and water

both effective and logical. The utilization of a regression model in this scenario can account for the quantile and heterogeneity structures inherent in the data. Hence, it can be inferred that in such situations, the most suitable alternative is quantile regression (QR) [95, 96]. The superiority of the model over OLS regression lies in the fact that it obviates the need to make any assumptions regarding the dispersion of the error terms that are anticipated by the model [97]. The Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) model makes predictions by incorporating the anticipated average value of the dependent variable. QR is a statistical method that utilizes the conditional median to generate predictions. According to Ong et al. [98], quantile regression (QR) determines the coefficient by examining various quantiles, such as the 25th, 50th, and 75th quantiles, of the response variable.

The QR was introduced by Koenker and Bassett in 1978 [99]. In 2001, Koenker and Hallock [100] introduced enhancements to the aforementioned method. The utilization of QR does not necessitate the adherence of variables to the normal distribution. Thus, the specified model takes the form of a linear regression equation.

$$a_i = \beta_o + \beta_1 v_{i1} + \dots + \beta_p v_{ip} \quad (16)$$

$$i = 1, \dots, n$$

The equation 16 specifies the number of parameters that require estimation, while i denotes the total number of data points. Equation 16 provides the basis for specifying the QR in equation 17, which is expressed for the T^{th} quantile. Thus, it can be inferred that the coefficient is reliant on the quantile [101]. Equation 18 presents the ultimate QR model.

$$Q_T(a_i) = \beta_o(T) + \beta_1(T) v_{i1} + \dots + \beta_p(T) v_{ip} \quad (17)$$

$$i = 1, \dots, n$$

$$Q_T a(T|a_{i,t-1}, a_{it}) = \partial_i + v(T) a_{i,t-1} + x_{it}^T \beta(T) \quad (18)$$

$$i = 1, \dots, n, \quad t = 1, \dots, T_i$$

Where; a_{it} represents the estimated outcome, while $a_{i,t-1}$ denotes the lag value of a_{it} . The exogenous variables are represented by x_{it} , and the $N \times 1$ vector of intercepts

is denoted by $\partial_i = (\partial_1, \dots, \partial_N)$. The T^{th} quantile is a determining factor in the relationship between the variables ($a_{i,t-1}$) and (x_{it}).

Results

Table 1 presents a comprehensive overview of all variables within the panel. The assessment of variable normality was conducted through the utilization of the JB-test and the computation of kurtosis and skewness values. The findings of the JB-test described that the variables did not follow a normal distribution. Under these conditions, the soundness of the t-test and F-test is supported by the extensive sample size; this is explained by OLS's asymptotic normality. According to Machado and Silva [102], utilizing quantile regression instead of OLS regression yields dependable estimates in cases where the variables are not distributed normally. Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that quantile regression maintains its efficacy even in the presence of significant skewness and kurtosis, as evidenced by previous research [103].

Due to the problem of heterogeneity and cross-sectional (CSD) dependence in the data, the estimation of parameters may exhibit inconsistency and bias. In order to alleviate this situation, it is imperative to conduct a cross-sectional dependency test and heterogeneity analysis. The outcomes concerning heterogeneity and cross-sectional dependency are displayed in Table 2. The panel data of the economies was found to exhibit cross-sectional dependency [104] through the utilization of two LM tests, one Pesaran scaled and the second Breusch-Pagan, and Pesaran CD is also applied. The interdependence observed could potentially be attributed to similar global factors, such as fluctuations in the costs of energy or developments in technology. The utilization of fixed and panel effects in this particular scenario may result in estimators that are both biased and unreliable. Various methods exist to address CSD. For instance, incorporating common factors or capturing their impact in panel regression can yield dependable estimators [105]. Furthermore, the utilization of the bootstrap method (with 1000 replications) can be

Table 1. Descriptive Analysis.

Variables	Mean	Med	Max.	Min.	SD	Skewness	Kurtosis	JB-test	p-scores
GHG-En	6.251	5.8732	6.892	5.293	0.328	1.2327	3.5782	64.987	0.000
REG	0.814	0.2665	21.535	0.974	1.945	5.1344	4.7362	36.4632	0.000
GF	1.237	1.0971	1.709	0.231	0.326	0.8936	3.7763	39.237	0.000
EFI	1.402	1.2983	3.782	1.782	0.378	2.6342	11.372	68.264	0.000
GDP	13.54	12.378	14.35	12.007	0.321	1.0032	3.2612	41.073	0.000
GEF	1.432	1.2391	1.723	-0.043	0.216	-0.0263	3.7712	35.328	0.000
RQL	1.109	1.0672	1.773	-0.321	0.278	-0.4662	3.2817	89.353	0.000
HCI	0.608	0.5723	1.398	0.321	0.228	-0.5732	2.8743	57.387	0.000
ERI	1.476	1.4563	1.732	0.893	0.068	2.98172	28.432	1314.26	0.000

Med=Median; SD=Standard Deviation

and EFI into our analysis. We utilized Models 5. and 6. to investigate the collective influence of GF and EFI on regulatory quality (REG). The study revealed that various control variables, including GDP, GEF, RQL, HCI, and ERI, had a positive influence on the expansion of renewable energy. Furthermore, it was observed that all of these variables contributed to the advancement of renewable energy growth across all quantiles. Based on econometric analyses, the research indicates that the growth of renewable energy is positively impacted by gross domestic product (GDP). The results obtained from the Fully Modified Ordinary Least Squares (FMOLS) and Generalized Method of Moments (GMM) approaches indicate impact coefficients of 0.263 and 0.287, respectively. Conversely, the utilization of the quantile regression (QR) methodology yields impact coefficients of 0.252, 0.266, and 0.297 for the 25th, 50th, and 75th quantiles, respectively. This research study presents an analysis of the potential influence of government effectiveness (GEF) on the outcome variable through the utilization of diverse econometric methodologies. The results suggest that the approximated effect of GEF as determined by FMOLS is 0.183, while that obtained from GMM is 0.190. Additionally, the impact of GEF from QR (25), QR (50), and QR (75) is 0.38, 0.42, and 0.053, respectively. The empirical findings obtained from the FMOLS (0.030), GMM (0.039), QR (25) (0.012), QR (50) (0.0143), and QR (75) (0.152) estimators indicate that regulatory quality (RQL) exerts a favorable influence on the REG. The impact of human capital on the expansion of renewable energy was evaluated using Fully Modified Ordinary Least Squares (FMOLS), Generalized Method of Moments (GMM), and Quantile Regression (QR) methods at different quantiles (25th, 50th, and 75th). The resulting figures were 0.452, 0.51, 0.293, 0.302, and 0.356. As per theoretical predictions, it was ascertained that each variable had a noteworthy and constructive influence. The adoption of a strategy aimed at enhancing global competitiveness through the diversification of production towards complex goods has been identified as an effective approach towards promoting renewable energy growth. Similarly, there are several additional factors that contribute to effective governance and regulatory excellence, including the competent implementation of policies and regulations at the national level, which also promote the utilization of renewable energy. The process of human resources development has been recognized as a factor that contributes to the release of greenhouse gases from energy sources, resulting in negative consequences.

Discussion

Industrial development has led to a multitude of unexpected repercussions, including the deterioration of the environment, which has had adverse effects on both human well-being and biodiversity worldwide. The aforementioned environmental issues necessitate careful

deliberation to enhance comprehension of how to formulate policies pertaining to climate change. Several agreements have been made with the aim of mitigating environmental pollutants by keeping the average temperature below 2°C. Governments worldwide have increasingly focused on investing in research (R) and development (D) for RE, commonly referred to as GF, as a means of effectively mitigating greenhouse gas emissions. Presently, nations are exploring various measures to curtail carbon dioxide emissions with the aim of promoting environmental sustainability. These measures include, but are not limited to, renewable energies, eco-innovation, and carbon taxes. The United Nations has established supplementary objectives to be accomplished by 2030, which underscore the significance of accessible and uncontaminated energy (goal 7.), all-encompassing and sustainable economic expansion (goal 8.), and technological advancement (goal 9.) as mechanisms to address climate change in a pressing manner [110, 111].

The present study offers a theoretical basis for the proposition that the amalgamation of green finance and economic fitness can lead to a decline in greenhouse gas emissions and a boost in the development of RE sources within the framework of economic expansion, proficient governance and regulatory standards, advanced human capital, and economic risk. This paper examines the impact of various factors on greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and the growth of renewable energy. These factors include sustained economic growth, changes in institutional quality such as government effectiveness and policy implementation, the development of human capital, and economic risk for clean technology innovation. The paper provides both theoretical and empirical evidence to support the promotion of industrial green upgrading and the improvement of environmental quality and consumption of renewable energy.

This is apparent from the progressively stronger coefficient of GF observed in each quantile as well as in the outcomes of FMOLS and GMM. The present findings are consistent with the results reported by Dong et al. [43] and Guo et al. [48]. Moreover, the stronger effect of GF on GHG-EN emissions was also observed by Khan et al. [112], Xian et al. [113], Yang et al. [114], and Yu et al. [115]. The underlying economic mechanism responsible for the observed correlation between GHG-En emissions and GF is that GF prioritizes investments in environmentally sustainable productions and incentivizes enterprises to develop innovative, energy-efficient innovations that are conducive to reducing carbon emissions [116]. This includes the promotion of renewable energy technological advances. In recent times, many OECD countries have implemented various environmentally sustainable financial instruments, such as green bonds, green securities, and other similar tools. These instruments have the potential to incentivize consumers as well as businesses to establish the concept of mitigating greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions by endorsing environmentally friendly initiatives in OECD countries.

